

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                    | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|------------------------------------|-------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 09/517,434                         | 03/02/2000  | JAHANGIR S. RASTEGAR | 13285                   | 4946             |
| 7590 03/16/2004                    |             |                      | EXAMINER                |                  |
| Paul J Esatto Jr                   |             |                      | BURCH, MELODY M         |                  |
| Scully Scott Mu<br>400 Garden City |             |                      | ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER   |                  |
| Garden City, NY 11530              |             |                      | 3683                    |                  |
|                                    |             |                      | DATE MAIL ED: 02/16/200 | 4                |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|  | Application No. | Applicant(s)    |  |  |  |  |  |
|--|-----------------|-----------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Advisory Action  | 09/517,434      | RASTEGAR ET AL. |  |  |  |  |  |
| Advisory Action  | Examiner        | Art Unit        |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Melody M. Burch | 3683            |  |  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address  |                 |                 |  |  |  |  |  |
| THE REPLY FILED 25 February 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.   |                 |                 |  |  |  |  |  |
| PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]   |                 |                 |  |  |  |  |  |
| a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).   |                 |                 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). |                 |                 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.   |                 |                 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:  |                 |                 |  |  |  |  |  |
| (a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);   |                 |                 |  |  |  |  |  |
| (b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);   |                 |                 |  |  |  |  |  |
| (c) Ithey are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or  |                 |                 |  |  |  |  |  |
| (d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.  |                 |                 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):  |                 |                 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).  |                 |                 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .  |                 |                 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.   |                 |                 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7. ☐ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☐ will not be entered or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.   |                 |                 |  |  |  |  |  |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:   |                 |                 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) allowed: <u>39,41,46,47 and 49</u> .  |                 |                 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) objected to:  |                 |                 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,4-27 and 29-38</u> .   |                 |                 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:   |                 |                 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.   |                 |                 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)  |                 |                 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10. Other:   |                 |                 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |                 |                 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |                 |                 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |                 |                 |  |  |  |  |  |

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the first and second supporting links 105 for the upper parallelogram sublinkage 103, for example, are not directly fixed to the payload or a portion thereof as claimed in the instant application. The supporting links 105 are indirectly fixed via an intermediate member to the payload 101 - the intermediate member being joint 109. Examiner notes that US Patent 4068825 to Macpherson, for example, shows in the figure on the front of the patent first and second supporting links 36,38 of an upper parallelogram sublinkage of a motion constraint mechanism 10 being indirectly fixed via an intermediate member to a payload or load that sits on element 41 -the intermediate member being element 41. As evident, the amendments fail to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal.

3/11/02

MATTHEW C. GRAHAM
PRIMARY EXAMINER
GROUP 310